Plan can be terminated by the Trustees at any time, but the Trustees have failed to do so, and continue to fail to do so; and

- c. pursuant to Rule 12b-1 and the express terms of the Rule 12b-1 Agreement, the Agreement with Distributors can be terminated by the Trustees at any time, without penalty, on 60 days notice to Distributors, but the Trustees have failed to do so, and continue to fail to do so.
- 71. Under these circumstances, each of the Trustees is deemed "interested" in the transactions and conduct at issue, because each of the Trustees ". . . is a party to the transactions and conduct." 1. ALI Principal of Corporate Government: Analysis and Recommendations Section 1.23(a)(1) (1994) as cited in *Harhen v. Brown*, 431 Mass. 838, 843 (2000).
- 72. There being no disinterested trustees with respect to this matter, demand on the Trustees would be futile.
- 73. That demand would be futile under these circumstances is reinforced by the following conclusion in *Daily Income Fund, Inc.*, 464 U.S. at 546 (Stevens, J., concurring):
  - ... a demand requirement would serve no meaningful purpose ... the contract between the fund and its investment advisor had been expressly approved by the independent directors of the fund. Since the disinterested directors are required to review and approve all advisory fee contracts under §15 of the Act...a demand would be a futile gesture after directors have already passed on the contract....
- 74. The Plaintiff has also not made demand on all of the shareholders of the Fund. There are tens of thousands of shareholders of the Fund. Under such circumstances, where there are a very large number of shareholders, demand on the shareholders is not required.

See, Harhen v. Brown, 431 Mass. 838, 849 (2000).

#### COUNT I

## Against the Defendant Distributors Under Sec. 36(b) of the Investment Company Act

- 75. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the preceding paragraphs in this Complaint.
- 76. Distributors is liable to the Fund for breach of its fiduciary duty to the Fund and its shareholders and for violation of Sec. 36(b) of the Investment Company Act.
- 77. The Fund has been damaged by Distributors' breach of its fiduciary duty to the Fund and its shareholders and by its violation of Sec. 36(b) of the Investment Company Act.
- 78. Pursuant to Sec. 36(b) of the Investment Company Act, Distributors are liable to the Fund for the amount of Distribution Fees paid to Distributors by the Fund during the period beginning one year prior to the filing of this complaint.

#### **COUNT II**

# Against The Defendant Distributors for Breach of Its Fiduciary Duty to the Fund and Its Shareholders Under Massachusetts Law

- 79. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the preceding paragraphs in this Complaint.
- 80. The Defendant Distributors is liable to the Fund for breach of its fiduciary duty to the Fund and its shareholders under Massachusetts law.
- 81. The Fund has been damaged by Distributors' breach of its fiduciary duties to the Fund and its shareholders under Massachusetts law, by the amount which Defendant

Distributors received from and will receive from the Fund as Distribution Fees during the Closed to New Investors Period.

#### COUNT III

## Against The Trustee Defendants for Breach of Their Fiduciary Duty to the Fund and Its Shareholders Under Massachusetts Law

- 82. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the preceding paragraphs in this Complaint.
- 83. The Trustee Defendants are liable to the Fund for breach of their fiduciary duty to the Fund and its shareholders under Massachusetts law.
- 84. The Fund has been damaged by the Trustee Defendants' breach of their fiduciary duties to the Fund and its shareholders under Massachusetts law, by the amount which Distributors received from and will receive from the Fund as Distribution Fees during the Closed to New Investors Period.

WHEREFORE: Plaintiff prays this Honorable Court to:

- A. Find the Defendant Distributors liable for breach of its fiduciary duty to the Fund and its shareholders and for violation of Sec. 36(b) of the Investment Company Act;
- B. Find the Trustee Defendants and Defendant Distributors liable for breach of their fiduciary duties to the Fund and its shareholders under Massachusetts law;
- C. Declare that the Distribution Fees that have been charged and continue to be charged by Distributors during the Closed to New Investors Period are

excessive;

D. Issue a permanent injunction enjoining Distributors from continuing to charge or receive from the Fund the Distribution Fees which this Court finds to be

excessive;

E. Issue a permanent injunction ordering the Trustee Defendants to terminate the

Plan and Agreement and to cause the Fund to cease paying Distributors the

excessive Distribution Fees.

F. Determine and award to the Fund from Distributors the amount of excessive

Distribution Fees that Distributors has received from the Fund during the period

beginning one year prior to the filing of this complaint;

G. Determine and award to the Fund from Distributors and the Defendant Trustees

the amount of excessive Distribution Fees that Distributors have received from

the Fund during the Closed to New Investors Period.

H. Award the Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys fees and costs; and

I. Grant any other further relief which this Court finds just and proper.

Dated: June 3, 2004

Submitted by the attorneys for the Plaintiff.

Edward F. Haber BBO No. 215620

Theodore M. Hess-Mahan BBO No. 557109

Shapiro Haber & Urmy LLP

Exchange Street 53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

(617) 439-3939

#### **OF COUNSEL:**

Robert C. Schubert, Esq. (BBO No. 362242) Juden Justice Reed, Esq. Schubert & Reed LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1600 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 788-4220

### **DECLARATION**

Now comes Eric R. Bahe, Custodian, CGM Roth Conversion IRA, and he hereby deposes and says:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in the action entitled *Eric R. Bahe, Custodian, CGM Roth Conversion IRA v. Franklin/Templeton Distributors, Inc. et al., derivatively on behalf of Franklin Balance Sheet Investment Fund.*
- 2. I have reviewed the Derivative Complaint in the action. The allegations in paragraph six of the Derivative Complaint are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed and sworn to this  $13\pi H$  day of  $12\pi H$ , 2004, under the pains and penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States.

Eric R. Bahe, Custodian, CGM Roth Conversion IRA

\_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_

#### JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

### CIVIL COVER SHEE?

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  ERIC BAHE, Custodian, CGM Roth Conversion, IRA  (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS CLERKS OFFICE							
				FRANKLIN/TEMPLETON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., FRANK T. CROHN, BURTON J. GREENWALD, GHARLES RUBENS II, LEONARD RUBIN, and WILLIAM J. LIPPMAN							
				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE SEPERITE COURT  DISTRICTANTIFMASS ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME. EDWARD F. HABER SHAPIRO HABER & 53 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA. 0210	R L URMY LLP 1, 37TH FL.	ŕ		04_1	1195	PRS					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (PLACE AN "X	" IN ONE BOX ONLY)			CIPAL PARTIES (P	LACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF					
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		<b>`</b>	p-	PTF DEF PTF   PTF   Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity     (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State □  Citizen or Subject of a □  Foreign Country	of Busines:	and Principal Place 5 5 5 in Another State					
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	BOX ONLY)		Toroigh Country							
CONTRACT	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES					
110 Insurance   120 Manne   120 Manne   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assautt, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  346 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL II  362 Personal in Med. Majp  365 Personal in Product Lia  368 Asbestos P Injury Product  370 Other Fraux  371 Truth in Ler  380 Other Personal in Property Directory  385 Property Directory Directory  510 Motions to Sentence  HABEAS CORF  530 General  535 Death Pens  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Corr	jury — ractice jury — billity ersonal uct Liability  DPERTY d dding onal amage amage amage ability  TITIONS  Vacate  PUS: alty is & Other is directice	□ 610 Agriculture □ 620 Other Food & Drug □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 630 Liquor Laws □ 640 R.R. & Truck □ 650 Airline Regs. □ 680 Occupational Safety/Health □ 690 Other  LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations □ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disciosure Act □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ \$20 Copyrights □ \$30 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 SIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ▼ 890 Other Statutory Actions					
		•	AN "X" IN A Reinsta Reope		district 🗆 🛭 6 Multidist						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION ACTION TO RECOVE COMPANY ACT OF	VER EXCESSIVE D	ISTRIBUTIO	N FEE	ILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMI BSTYL PURSUANT TO SE )) AND MASSACHUS	C. 36(b) OF TH ETTS COMMON LA	W •					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER ER.C.P. 2		TION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES  JURY DEM	only if demanded in complaint:  AND: YES □ NO					
VIII.RELATED CASE(	(S) (See instructions):	, DGE	AURO	7/	DOCKET NUMBER 0	3-CV-12198-JLT					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY	OF RECORD							

\_\_\_\_\_JUDGE \_

\_\_ MAG, JUDGE

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

-area.	ory in which	n the case belongs based	i upon the nur	nbered nature of su	it code	ed en th	ie cjvil f	over sl	neet. (S	ee	
	ule 40.1(a)(					00.1	١ ر	٠,٠	0 1		
	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23, RE	GARDLESS O	F NATURE OF SUIT	. J.S.	DIST	RICT	COU	₹1		
					DIS	TRIC	T OF	MASS		O 121	
✓	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 44 740, 790, 791, 820*, 8	1-444, 540, 55 30*, 840*, 850,	890, 892-894, 895,	950.		-		k or cop		cases
	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 15 315, 320, 330, 340, 34 380, 385, 450, 891.	1, 190, 210, 2 5, 350, 355, 3	30, 240, 245, 290, 3 60, 362, 365, 370, 3	10, 71,						
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 46 690, 810, 861-865, 87		10, 620, 630, 64 <b>0</b> 00.	4 _	. 1	1	1	Q F	~	וכו
	V.	150, 152, 153.					1	_	J,	J	r,
Title a	and number	, if any, of related cases. se indicate the title and n	(See local ru umber of the f	le 40.1(g)). If more irst filed case in this	than one pr s court.	ior rela	ted case	has be	en filed	in	
		ipal Protection Funds I				_T					
-											
Has a	prior actio	n between the same part	ies and based	on the same claim	1	filed in		ırt? 			
				. 114	YES		NO	ic inter	set? (S	iee	
	the compla SC §2403)	int in this case question	the constitution	onality of an act of c	ongress an	ecung 1	ine pubi	ic intere	3511 (3	·ec	
20 03	3C 92403)				YES		NO	<b>✓</b>			
16	in the H C	A. or an officer, agent or	amployee of th	e U.S. a party?	169		NO	L			
II SO,	is the U.S.	t. or an officer, agent or	imployee of the				NO				
					YES	J	NO	L			
ls thi	s case requ	ired to be heard and det	ermined by a c	listrict court of thre	e judges pu	rsuant	to title 2	28 USC	§2284?		
					YES		NO	4			
Do al	sachusetts	ties in this action, exclu ("governmental agencies	ding governmes"), residing i	ental agencies of the n Massachusetts re	e united stanside in the	tes and	I the Co ivision?	mmonw P - (See	realth of Local R	tule	
Mass 40.1(	d)}.				YES	1	NO				
Mass	d)}.				169	k	NO				
Mass	d)}. <b>A</b> .	If yes, in which divis	ion do <u>all</u> of th	ie non-governmenta		side?	NO				٦
Mass		If yes, in which divis Eastern Division	ion do <u>all</u> of th	ne non-governmenta Central Division			Vestern	Divisio	n		]
Mass		-	on do the majo	Central Division	al parties re	٧	Vestern			tal	
Mass	<b>A</b> .	Eastern Division	on do the majo	Central Division	al parties re	V parties	Vestern	ling gov	/ernmen	tal	
Mass 40.1(	A. B. ng a Notice	Eastern Division  If no, in which division agencies, residing i	on do the majo n Massachuse	Central Division writy of the plaintiffs etts reside? Central Division	or the only	parties V	Vestern s, exclud Vestern	ling gov Divisio	/ernmen <sup>s</sup>		]
Mass 40.1(	A. B. ng a Notice	Eastern Division  If no, in which division agencies, residing i Eastern Division of Removal - are there as	on do the majo n Massachuse	Central Division writy of the plaintiffs etts reside? Central Division	or the only	parties V	Vestern s, exclud Vestern	ling gov Divisio	/ernmen <sup>s</sup>		]
Mass 40.1(i	A.  B.  ng a Notice submit a se	Eastern Division  If no, in which division agencies, residing i Eastern Division of Removal - are there all eparate sheet identifying	on do the majo n Massachuse my motions per the motions)	Central Division ority of the plaintiffs etts reside? Central Division original the state co	or the only	parties V	Vestern s, exclud Vestern ttention	ling gov Divisio	/ernmen <sup>s</sup>		]
Mass 40.1(	A.  B.  ng a Notice submit a se  PE OR PRIN	Eastern Division  If no, in which division agencies, residing i Eastern Division of Removal - are there as	on do the major n Massachuse my motions per the motions)	Central Division ority of the plaintiffs etts reside? Central Division onding in the state co	or the only	parties V	Vestern s, exclud Vestern ttention	ling gov Divisio	/ernmen <sup>s</sup>		]